COVERAGE ANALYSIS REPORT

Coverage Analysis: how the Frontline Education breach was covered

A structural reading of 12 articles from 11 outlets.

PREPARED BY	PREPARED FOR	DATE
Frametra Software Inc	**Frametra Sample Report**	**November 8, 2026**

Scoring by Frametra 3.9.34 · FC-MW81GY7A

At a glance

12 articles from 11 outlets, read by Frametra's on-device engine across six structural signals.

77
average structural score, range 65 to 87

12 of 12
articles with one charged word or none

42–84
range in source attribution scores

8 of 12
articles with full claim restraint

WHAT THE NUMBERS SHOW

1. **The tone stayed measured.** Neutral language averaged 99 out of 100, and the lowest article scored 95. 10 articles used none of the engine's charged words and 12 used one or none.
2. **Sourcing varied from article to article.** Source attribution ran from 42 to 84, averaging 59. 1 article scored 80 or above and 4 scored below 50. Those below 50 are the pieces where a named source or a link is hardest to find.
3. **Length and sourcing.** The 4 articles of 600 words or more averaged 49 on sourcing, against 64 for the 8 shorter ones (lower for the longer pieces). The engine measures attribution relative to length, so part of any gap reflects how the measure works.
4. **Source attribution was the weakest signal.** It averaged 59, against 99 for neutral language, the strongest. Claim restraint averaged 94; 8 articles reached full restraint. The engine counts words such as "claims" and "alleges" against claim restraint, which is expected when articles report on allegations or court records.

> **How to read these scores.** They describe how an article is built: its sourcing, wording and evidence. They do not say whether an article is accurate, fair or well-intentioned, and they are not a grade.

The six signals, across all 12 articles

Average score for each signal, out of 100. Weights show how much each signal counts toward the structural score.



BY ARTICLE LENGTH

	ARTICLES	AVG WORDS	SCORE	SOURCING	CLAIM RESTRAINT	EVIDENCE	CHARGED WORDS
Under 600 words	8	335	78	64	97	63	0.1
600 words or more	4	788	77	49	86	75	0.3

Sourcing = source attribution. Evidence = figures and direct quotations. Charged words = average per article.

Article by article

All 12 articles, grouped by outlet. Scores out of 100; values below 50 are shown in amber.

OUTLET	HEADLINE	WORDS	SCORE	SRC	LANG	CLM	HDG	EVD	ACT
bleepingcomputer.com	Frontline Education breach exposes school district employee d…	454	81	69	100	78	55	86	97
breached.company	Frontline Education Breach Exposes K-12 SSNs Nationwide	623	83	63	96	100	55	91	99
cybernews.com	Frontline Education breach puts student data at risk	544	87	84	95	100	55	72	94
databreaches.net	Student SSNs and Medicaid IDs Exposed in Frontline School Sof…	114	74	69	100	100	84	44	97
esecurityplanet.com	Frontline Education Data Breach Hits Over 1.3 Million	883	78	45	100	83	97	68	98
esecurityplanet.com	Frontline Education Breach Exposes Employee Data	769	75	44	100	81	79	69	100
infosecurity-magazine.com	Frontline Education Breach Impacts K-12 School District Staff	329	85	58	100	100	93	77	98
kxan.com	Austin ISD says staff data compromised after software breach	124	65	54	100	100	40	41	98
mcafee.com	Frontline Education Data Breach: Got a Cyberscout Letter? | M…	458	76	46	100	100	40	76	98
rescana.com	Frontline Education Data Breach 2026: Third-Party Software Vu…	875	72	42	100	80	54	71	96
scworld.com	Frontline Education data breach exposes employee Social Secur…	122	71	75	100	100	40	44	98
securityweek.com	Frontline Education Data Breach Impacts Over 1.3 Million	534	82	60	100	100	64	67	97

Src = source attribution. Lang = neutral language. Clm = claim restraint. Hdg = calibrated hedging. Evd = evidence signals. Act = active voice.

What this report does not cover

This report uses Frametra's free on-device engine, which reads each article's wording. It cannot say **who was quoted**, whether sources were **anonymous**, which voices were missing (for example the people involved), or whether a claim is true. Those need a closer read of the individual articles.

METHOD AND LIMITS

Each article was read from its public web page by Frametra's engine, on the reader's own device, and scored on six signals: source attribution (28% of the structural score), neutral language (25%), claim restraint (20%), calibrated hedging (10%), evidence signals (10%) and active voice (7%). Structural scores run from 22 to 95. Scores depend on the page text the engine could read; paywalled, very short or heavily formatted pages may be read only in part. Article formats such as features, analysis and opinion score differently from wire news by design, and the engine counts words such as "claims" and "alleges" against claim restraint. The set of articles was chosen by the preparer and is not a random sample, so results describe this set only. All sentences in this report are generated from the numbers by fixed rules.